Demonstration of Orthogonal Complementary Enrichment Methods for Enhanced Phosphopeptide Profiling of Drug-Treated Gastric Carcinoma Cells

Charles L. Farnsworth, Hongbo Gu, Xiaoying Jia, Jian Min Ren, Kimberly Lee, Jeffrey C. Silva
Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers MA 01923

Introduction

The post-translational modification of proteins by phosphorylation has been shown to regulate many aspects of cellular function from growth and differentiation to basic metabolism. Here we show that two phosphopeptide enrichment strategies (IMAC and Motif antibody) are highly complementary with an overlap of ~6% in the number of unique, non-redundant phosphopeptide identifications. In conjunction with using label-free quantitative methods, we show effects of two classes of kinase inhibitor on down stream substrates in the human gastric carcinoma cell line, MKN-45. Following treatment of cells with the c-Met RTK inhibitor SU11274 or the PKC family inhibitor staurosporine, phosphopeptides were isolated using a combination of 14 serine and threonine phospho specific motif antibodies, a Phospho-Tyrosine specific rabbit monoclonal antibody or an IMAC enrichment using Fe³⁺ charged agarose beads. The results show over 20,000 unique phosphopeptides were identified with these methods.

Methods

The gastric cancer cell line MKN-45 was treated with vehicle, SU11274 or staurosporine for 2 hours. Tryptic and LysC peptides were generated from control and treated cellular extracts. Phosphopeptide enrichments were performed using a combination of motif antibodies and Fe³⁺ charged NTA agarose beads. Purified peptides were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using an Orbitrap Velos™ (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.); phospho-serine/threonine and tyrosine peptides were identified using SEQUEST (The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA). Label-free quantification was used to perform relative quantification for all identified phosphopeptides. Quantitative label-free quantification was performed using Skyline Software (MacCoss Lab Software, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington); results were analyzed using TIBCO Spotfire DecisionSite software (TIBCO Software, Inc., Palo Alto, CA).

Figure 1: Study Design. A total of 1X10⁸ MKN-45 cells per experiment were treated as described above. The cells were harvested in urea lysis buffer, reduced, alkylated and digested with LysC or trypsin using standard methods (Rush et al.) prior to immunoaffinity enrichment.

Figure 2: Motif Ab List. The following substrate motif antibodies were used to enrich for phosphopeptides. A combination of related motif antibodies were utilized to enrich for similar motif TYPES as outlined above (pY, S/T Mix, Basophilic & Proline-Directed).

Figure 3: PTMScan Method. The following method was used for immunoaffinity LC-MS/MS analysis. Following peptide enrichment and LC-MS/MS, label-free quantification is performed using Skyline Software (MacCoss Lab Software, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington).

Figure 4: Control Western Blots. A total of 20 μg of protein per condition was used for western blot analysis (lane 1- DMSO, lane 2- SU11274, lane 3- staurosporine). The following western blots are illustrated above: (A) Phospho-tyrosine antibody; (B) Phospho-Met Y1234/1235; (C) PathScan® multiplex western cocktail.

Figure 5: Motif Antibody Western Blots. Protein extracts were probed with the following kinase substrate motif antibodies: (A) PKC, (B) Akt, (C) AMPK and PKD, (D) MAPK.

Figure 6: Qualitative MS/MS Summary. MS/MS spectra were evaluated using SEQUEST 3G and the SORCERER 2 platform from Sage-N Research (v4.0, Milpitas CA).

Figure 7: Label-Free Quantitation. Phosphopeptides were quantified based on MS1 peak height or area measurements, and expressed as ratios of treated as compared to DMSO control. Peak integration was performed using either XCalibur or Skyline software.

Figure 8: Relative Quantitation for SU11274 and Staurosporine Treated MKN-45 Cells. Scatter plots are illustrated for the relative quantitation of SU11274 and Staurosporine-treated MKN-45 cells (versus DMSO control) from each of the motif phosphopeptide enrichment strategies (y-axis is log² (ratio) and x-axis is average peptide intensity across all conditions).

Figure 9: Relative Quantitation of Phosphorylation Sites on Met. The relative quantitation of tyrosine phosphorylation on the receptor tyrosine kinase, Met. Fold-change values are provided for SU11274 treatment versus DMSO.

Figure 10: Qualitative Comparison of IMAC and Motif Antibody Analaysis. A total of 27,372 unique phosphopeptides were isolated from the combined IMAC and motif antibody enrichment strategies. The Venn intersection between the different methods is shown. The non-redundant number of phosphopeptides for each enrichment strategy are in brackets. The percent shared between each method is shown at the intersection.

Figure 11: Overlap of Motif Antibody Enrichment Strategies. The shared percentage of unique phosphopeptides is shown. The total number per each enrichment strategy is in brackets.

Figure 12: Basophilic Motif Antibody Enrichment. A subset of phosphopeptides conforming to the basophilic Akt substrate motif, RXRX(s/t) or RXX(s/t) is illustrated from a subset of protein-peptide identifications generated from the Basophilic Motif Antibody enrichment.

Figure 13: KEGG Pathway Analysis. The tyrosine kinase pathway (A), and the MAPK kinase pathway (B) were annotated from a subset of the data generated in this study. Red outline denotes proteins identified in this study. The pathways are derived from the pathway analysis tool DAVID, from NIAID, http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/

Conclusion

The enrichment strategies used here demonstrate the high degree of complementarity between metal based IMAC and substrate motif antibodies in the identification of phosphopeptides.

Substrate motif antibodies can be used in western blotting to pre-screen samples for qualitative evaluation of response to treatment prior to PhosphoScan®.

References

1. Rush, J., Moritz, A., Lee, K.A., Guo, A., Goss, V.L., Spek, E.J., Zhang, H., Zha, X.M., Polakiewicz, R.D. and Comb, M.J. (2005) Nat. Biotechnol. 23, 94–101.
2. Soderblom, E.J. et al. (2011) Anal. Chem. 83, 3758–3764.

Contact Information

Charles L. Farnsworth, Ph.D. | Cell Signaling Technology | 3 Trask Lane, Danvers, MA 01923
phone: 978-867-2376 | email: cfarnsworth@cellsignal.com | www.cellsignal.com

Presentation Posters, Case Studies and Publications